Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET **FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE CA 92614** 

COPY MAILED

OCT 2 8 2004

In re Application of Boris Belyi et al.

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Application No. 10/671,000 Filed: September 25, 2003

**DECISION REFUSING STATUS** 

UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(b)

Attorney Docket No. 1DATA.043A

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed under 37 CFR 1.47(b) on September 30, 2004.

The renewed petition is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(b)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION. Extensions of time may be obtained in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed September 25, 2003, without an executed oath or declaration. Accordingly, on December 19, 2003, a "Notice To File Missing" Parts of Nonprovisional Application" was mailed, requiring an executed oath or declaration and a surcharge for the late filing of the oath or declaration. On June 21, 2004 a petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b) was filed and dismissed in a decision mailed July 26, 2004 because the petition lacked items 2, 5 and 6 of 37 CFR 1.47(b)1. An oath or declaration executed by Ken Algiene on behalf of First Data Corporation, proof of proprietary interest and of irreparable harm have been submitted with the instant

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b) requires:

<sup>(1)</sup> proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings);

<sup>(2)</sup> an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116:

<sup>(3)</sup> the petition fee;

<sup>(4)</sup> a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor;

<sup>(5)</sup> proof of proprietary interest, and

<sup>(6)</sup> proof of irreparable damage.

petition but, the renewed petition still lacks item (2).

The declaration filed September 30, 2004 is defective in that it does not state that the person(s) making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person(s) to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56 nor does it comply with 37 CFR 3.73 in that the putative assignee has not established its right to take action in accordance with 37 CFR 3.73(b). Specifically, petitioners have not provided documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee, nor shown that documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee is recorded in the assignment records of the Office (i.e. reel and frame number). Petitioners must establish the right of an assignee to take action in accordance with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(703) 872-9306

Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned

Petitions Attorney at (517) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions